## HILBERT SCHEMES OF PLANAR CURVES ON SURFACES

## DAVID BAI

Abstract. This is an elementary calculation done in the midst of a summer project under Dr Dhruv Ranganathan.

We study the Hilbert schemes of planar curves in hypersurfaces of degree d in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . We've shown that, for  $d \ge 4$ , this Hilbert scheme of a generic hypersurface of degree d is isomorphic to a countable disjoint union of copies of  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .

Throughout this article we work over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For a degree  $d \ge 4$  projective hypersurface S in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , we are interested in the Hilbert scheme H(S) parameterising planar curves contained in S. It has the decomposition

$$H(S) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} H_k(S) \text{ where } H_k(S) = \text{Hilb}_{S/\mathbb{C}}^{P_k}, P_k(T) = kT + 1 - \binom{k-1}{2}$$

where, essentially,  $H_k(S)$  parameterises plane curves of degree k contained in S.

Another noteworthy fact about H(S) is that it is smooth when S is smooth. Indeed, from [1, Corollary 2.7] we know that  $\operatorname{Hilb}_{S/\mathbb{C}}$ , of which H(S) is a open subscheme, is smooth since S has irregularity 0.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose S is very general, then

$$H_k(S) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}^3 & if \ d \mid k; \\ \varnothing & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* As S is very general, we may as well assume that it's smooth.

We shall first deal with the case where k = d by producing a morphism  $\psi : (\mathbb{P}^3)^{\vee} \to H_d(S)$  which is a bijection on  $\mathbb{C}$ -points. Here,  $(\mathbb{P}^3)^{\vee}$  denotes the dual projective space parameterising planes in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Since both  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and  $H_d(S)$  are smooth and projective,  $\psi$  would then have to be an isomorphism by Zariski's Main Theorem.

To give a morphism  $(\mathbb{P}^3)^{\vee} \to H_d(S)$  is the same as to give a flat family  $Z \subset S \times (\mathbb{P}^3)^{\vee} \to (\mathbb{P}^3)^{\vee}$  with Hilbert polynomial  $P_d$ . To construct  $\psi$ , we take Z to be the family whose fibre over a geometric point Spec  $\bar{k} \to (\mathbb{P}^3)^{\vee}$  (corresponding to a plane  $V \subset \mathbb{P}^3_{\bar{k}}$ ) is the closed subscheme of  $S_{\bar{k}}$  defined by the ideal of V restricted to  $S_{\bar{k}}$  ("intersection of V with  $S_{\bar{k}}$ "). Alternatively, Z may be described as the relative Hilbert scheme parameterising pairs  $(p, V) \in S \times (\mathbb{P}^3)^{\vee}$  with  $p \in V$ .

It follows that Z as such is a flat family, and on the level of  $\mathbb{C}$ -points it takes  $V \in (\mathbb{P}^3)^{\vee}$  to the curve produced by the intersection of V with S. This is a injection, for if two different planes intersects S at the same curve C, then C is contained in the intersection

Date: August 2022.

## DAVID BAI

of the two planes, which is a line. It is also a surjection: By the theorem of Noether-Lefchetz, for very general choice of S, any curve  $C \subset S$  must be the complete intersection intersection of S with another surface S', say of degree d'. But then C must have degree dd' by Bézout's theorem, hence S' is a plane.

This Noether-Lefchetz argument also shows that  $H_k(S) = \emptyset$  for  $d \nmid k$ , and that any plane curve on *S* must be irreducible (and its support has degree exactly *d*).

It remains to deal with the case where k = dn for some n > 1.

Consider the map  $\phi(\bar{k})$  that brings  $C \in H_d(S)(\bar{k})$  to the curve with ideal  $\mathcal{I}_C^n$ , which is an element of  $H_{dn}(S)(\bar{k})$ . This comes from a morphism  $\phi: H_d(S) \to H_{dn}(S)$  using the same procedure as before. Again, due to Zariski's main theorem, to conclude  $\phi$  is an isomorphism it suffices to show that  $\phi(\bar{k})$  is a bijection.

It is an injection since taking support recovers C. It is a surjection again due to Noether-Lefchetz: Any curve in  $H_d(S)(\bar{k})$  is a complete intersection, hence an effective Cartier divisor. But any effective Cartier divisor on S is determined by its support and multiplicity by the well-known comparison theorem between Weil and Cartier divisors, so we have surjectivity.

## References

[1] Fogarty, J. Algebraic families on an algebraic surface. American Journal of Mathematics 90, 2 (1968), 511-521.